

ROUTINE WORK IN THE LEGISLATURE

Several Bills are Advanced a Stage at Yesterday Afternoon's Session of House.

At yesterday afternoon's session of the legislature an act with respect to Compensation to Workmen for Injuries suffered in the course of their employment was brought in by the Attorney-General.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

It is the most important measure to come before the house this year, and will come up for its second reading on Friday, February 16.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

GEORGIAN BAY CANAL.

Debate in Senate is Closed—Discuss Earl Carrington's Motion.

Ottawa, Feb. 6.—In the Senate debate on the bill for the construction of a canal between Georgian Bay and Lake Huron, the Earl of Carrington moved that the bill be referred to a select committee.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

COMPENSATION FOR WORKMEN

Bill Which Comes Up For Second Reading On Friday Next Provides for Injured Workmen.

The Compensation act introduced yesterday afternoon in the legislature by the attorney-general, Hon. Mr. Cross, is one of the most important measures to come before the house this year.

It is a measure which provides for the compensation of workmen for injuries suffered in the course of their employment.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

LAKE'S MOTION MEETS DEFEAT

Timber Administration is Endorsed by Federal House—Conclusion of Long Debate.

Ottawa, February 7.—In the House of Commons yesterday afternoon, the motion of Mr. Lake, member for the Yukon, in relation to the timber administration, was defeated.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

WILL WAIT THE EXPERT'S RETURN

City Council Does Not Let Telephone Company Continue. Lebtentures Sold at High Price.

Although the council meeting of last evening was one of the shortest that has been held for some time, two very important matters were decided by the aldermen.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

COMPULSORY FLAG FLYING MAKES FUSS

Flag-Flying Over Schools Under Compulsion and Risk of Penalties Makes Trouble in Manitoba — Memsopins Try to Law.

Winnipeg, Feb. 7.—In the legislature yesterday, Valentine Winkler ventilated the contentious subject of the compulsory flag flying over schools.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

NO SUMMER FAIR TO BE HELD HERE

Stock Show Lasting Two Weeks Will Be Held Justed During Next June.

Edmonton next summer will not hold a regular summer fair during the month of June.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.

At the same time a bill was introduced by Mr. Cross, which provides for the compensation of a first-class fireman.





# THEY WOULD DESTROY THE BLACK SEA FLEET

A Case of Dissatisfaction and Insubordination—Mutiny on One Ship Followed by 150 Attacks at Sebastopol and Sinop.

Odesa, Feb. 5.—Arrests to the number of 150 have been made by the naval police at Sebastopol and Sinop in connection with the discovery of several hundred of these incendiary bombs. The investigations made by the police prove conclusively that the bombs were intended for the destruction of the Black Sea fleet.

Sebastopol and the Black Sea fleet have caused for themselves an unfavorable notoriety in the matter of discipline and insubordination. Revolutionary theories have found ready adherents among the crews, who have on many occasions shown their readiness to put them into practice. The most recent incident of naval insubordination was the mutiny of the crew of the destroyer "Shturman" on October 30. The red flag was hoisted and the vessel sailed into the harbor and opened fire on the town and troops on shore.

The mutiny was led by the captain, the gunboat "Mandelstam" and some destroyers, and most of the mutineers were killed.

The most dangerous outbreak of all was the naval mutiny at Sebastopol on October 30. The red flag was hoisted and the vessel sailed into the harbor and opened fire on the town and troops on shore.

The mutiny was led by the captain, the gunboat "Mandelstam" and some destroyers, and most of the mutineers were killed.

The most dangerous outbreak of all was the naval mutiny at Sebastopol on October 30. The red flag was hoisted and the vessel sailed into the harbor and opened fire on the town and troops on shore.

The mutiny was led by the captain, the gunboat "Mandelstam" and some destroyers, and most of the mutineers were killed.

The most dangerous outbreak of all was the naval mutiny at Sebastopol on October 30. The red flag was hoisted and the vessel sailed into the harbor and opened fire on the town and troops on shore.

The mutiny was led by the captain, the gunboat "Mandelstam" and some destroyers, and most of the mutineers were killed.

The most dangerous outbreak of all was the naval mutiny at Sebastopol on October 30. The red flag was hoisted and the vessel sailed into the harbor and opened fire on the town and troops on shore.

The mutiny was led by the captain, the gunboat "Mandelstam" and some destroyers, and most of the mutineers were killed.

The most dangerous outbreak of all was the naval mutiny at Sebastopol on October 30. The red flag was hoisted and the vessel sailed into the harbor and opened fire on the town and troops on shore.

The mutiny was led by the captain, the gunboat "Mandelstam" and some destroyers, and most of the mutineers were killed.

The most dangerous outbreak of all was the naval mutiny at Sebastopol on October 30. The red flag was hoisted and the vessel sailed into the harbor and opened fire on the town and troops on shore.

The mutiny was led by the captain, the gunboat "Mandelstam" and some destroyers, and most of the mutineers were killed.

The most dangerous outbreak of all was the naval mutiny at Sebastopol on October 30. The red flag was hoisted and the vessel sailed into the harbor and opened fire on the town and troops on shore.

The mutiny was led by the captain, the gunboat "Mandelstam" and some destroyers, and most of the mutineers were killed.

The most dangerous outbreak of all was the naval mutiny at Sebastopol on October 30. The red flag was hoisted and the vessel sailed into the harbor and opened fire on the town and troops on shore.

The mutiny was led by the captain, the gunboat "Mandelstam" and some destroyers, and most of the mutineers were killed.

# FAMOUS WAR RELICS Come to America—Flag and Buze Sold at Auction.

London, Feb. 6.—The flag of the American ship "Albatross" and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, two of the most famous war relics, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

The flag, which was captured by the British ship "HMS Shannon" in 1813, and the battle of the Chesapeake Bay, which was fought in 1781, are being sold at auction.

# THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE EXISTING LINES

President McKenna of the C. N. R. Spoke in Reference to Programme for the Improvement of the Existing Lines.

Toronto, Feb. 5.—That he would interest in the electrical development of the railway was the reply of William McKenna, president of the C. N. R., when asked today by "MacKenzie" what he intended to do for the improvement of the existing lines.

Asked as to how he found things in the west, he said: "This winter has been exceptionally favorable and the fall and spring seasons have been very good. This time Toronto seems to be the place for cold weather and snow. The difference from last winter you can judge from the fact that our earnings for January, 1936, were about double what they were for January, 1937, with not nearly so heavy a demand on engines and cars."

He is the C.N.R. programme for this year decided yet.

"Not completely," was the reply. "I was not yet able to get into the districts. The amount of land under cultivation in the west is still small. The improvement of the existing lines has a lot of attention this year as well."

Will you say anything about the financial condition of the C.N.R.?

"Well," said Mr. McKenna, "I have not been on financial business for some time. I am not sure of the exact figures, but I am sure that the C.N.R. is in a very good financial position. We are not sure of the exact figures, but I am sure that the C.N.R. is in a very good financial position."

What we need in Canada of course is permanent investment which would enable us to develop our resources. The C.N.R. is not sure of the exact figures, but I am sure that the C.N.R. is in a very good financial position."

ENOUGH EARNINGS  
Net Earnings of Standard Oil in 1935  
New York, Feb. 5.—The net earnings of the Standard Oil Company for 1935 are estimated at \$58,000,000 or \$45,000,000.

Year. Profits. Dividends. After-Tax.  
1935. \$58,000,000. \$28,333,333. \$1,561,667.  
1934. \$52,252,500. \$25,333,333. \$1,270,833.  
1933. \$48,450,000. \$23,333,333. \$1,125,000.  
1932. \$45,175,000. \$21,333,333. \$1,031,250.  
1931. \$42,175,000. \$19,333,333. \$937,500.

The Mary L. Newhall was one of the boats which carried a crew of 100 men.

A Temple of Labor.  
Washington, Feb. 6.—Members of the Central Union of Public Employees have just set out to raise a fund for the purpose of building a temple of labor.

Washington, Feb. 6.—The present Congress will not support a tariff commission. The House of Representatives has just passed a bill to create a tariff commission.

Washington, Feb. 6.—The present Congress will not support a tariff commission. The House of Representatives has just passed a bill to create a tariff commission.

Washington, Feb. 6.—The present Congress will not support a tariff commission. The House of Representatives has just passed a bill to create a tariff commission.

Washington, Feb. 6.—The present Congress will not support a tariff commission. The House of Representatives has just passed a bill to create a tariff commission.

Washington, Feb. 6.—The present Congress will not support a tariff commission. The House of Representatives has just passed a bill to create a tariff commission.

Washington, Feb. 6.—The present Congress will not support a tariff commission. The House of Representatives has just passed a bill to create a tariff commission.

Washington, Feb. 6.—The present Congress will not support a tariff commission. The House of Representatives has just passed a bill to create a tariff commission.

Washington, Feb. 6.—The present Congress will not support a tariff commission. The House of Representatives has just passed a bill to create a tariff commission.

Washington, Feb. 6.—The present Congress will not support a tariff commission. The House of Representatives has just passed a bill to create a tariff commission.

Washington, Feb. 6.—The present Congress will not support a tariff commission. The House of Representatives has just passed a bill to create a tariff commission.

# FOUGHT FLAMES 36 HOURS.

Terrible Experience of Crew on the Burning Vessel Cymric.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

New York, Feb. 6.—A wireless despatch from the crew of the burning vessel "Cymric" gives the details of the burning of the ship.

# IF OIL WERE THE FUEL.

Twenty-Seven Men Could Stake the Lusitania.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

London, Feb. 6.—A striking testimony to the value of oil as a fuel is given by Mr. J. K. McDermott, M. P., who has recently conducted some experiments on behalf of the Admiralty.

# Reduces Number of Licenses.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

Quebec, Feb. 6.—The city council unanimously passed a law reducing the number of licenses from 1 to 50, and fire licenses from 21 to 30.

# IMPERIAL BANK OF CANADA

Head Office - Toronto

Capital Authorized.....\$10,000,000  
Capital Paid Up.....4,800,000  
Reserve.....4,800,000

SAVINGS BANK DEPARTMENT  
Interest allowed on deposits from date of deposit and credited quarterly.

C. R. F. KIRKPATRICK, Manager, Edmonton Branch.

# THE CANADIAN BANK OF COMMERCE

HEAD OFFICE, TORONTO ESTABLISHED 1867  
B. E. WATSON, President, A. C. HARRIS, General Manager, A. H. IRELAND, Superintendent of Branches.

Paid-up Capital.....\$10,000,000  
Reserve.....4,800,000  
Total Assets.....11,800,000

Branches Throughout Canada and in the United States and England  
A GENERAL BANKING BUSINESS TRANSACTED.  
Commercial and Farmers' Paper Discounted.

Deposits of \$1 and upwards accepted, and interest allowed at current rate. The depositor is subject to no delay whatever in the withdrawal of the funds.

EDMONTON BRANCH: T. M. Turnbull, Manager

# BANK OF HAMILTON

THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR IN THE INVESTMENT OF SAVINGS IS SECURITY

Bank of Hamilton

## EDMONTON DAILY BULLETIN

DAILY—Delivered in the City, 84 per copy, by mail, per year, \$2; by mail to United States, per year, \$5.00, delivered through city post office, 60 per year.

SEMI-WEEKLY—Subscriptions, per year, \$1; subscriptions in the United States, \$2; by mail, per year, \$5.00, delivered through city post office, 60 per year. All subscriptions strictly in advance.

DUNCAN MARSHALL,  
Manager.

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 1908.

## TRYING TO UNLOAD.

The Opposition propose that the Province should purchase the C.P.R. line from Macleod to Edmonton with the view to extending this into the northern districts of the Province. The possession of the Calgary and Edmonton and the Calgary and Macleod lines is not necessary to the construction of a government road to the North but as it is advanced as the main proposition, it is worthy some attention. That the construction of a northerly line is made conditional on the possession of these lines indicates that the concern of the Opposition is rather in selling the Province than in the Province than in getting a new line constructed.

The Calgary and Edmonton line and the Calgary and Macleod line were built as feeders of the C.P.R. main line, and so far as through traffic is concerned, they never can be anything else than feeders of that and west railways. The heavy export traffic along these lines does not take that traffic one mile nearer the British market; the hauling of import traffic along these lines actually brings it to traffic to points nearer Winnipeg than the point at which the traffic left the C.P.R. main line. A car of wheat shipped from Edmonton to Macleod is a farther from Liverpool when it reaches Calgary than when it leaves Edmonton; a car of wheat shipped from Macleod via the C.P.R. to Liverpool is a nearer Liverpool when it leaves Macleod than when it reaches Calgary. A car of goods is nearer Winnipeg when it reaches Edmonton than when it leaves Macleod than when it leaves Calgary. So far as through traffic is concerned, these north and south lines do nothing more than to load it with freight charges that might be avoided if the traffic was handled direct from Alberta centres over east and west rail ways. No extension of lines to either north or south can alter this geographical circumstance; for no matter how far produced either way a north and south railway, or neither north nor south, or a line in which we sell produce or buy goods.

Now the whole trend of railway construction is to abolish this needless and expensive north and south haul. Since the Cow's Nest branch was constructed from Medicine Hat to Macleod through Calgary, the line from the latter point has not traversed the line north to Calgary, but has gone directly east, joining the main line at Medicine Hat. The extension of the S. line to Lacombe is designed to draw the produce of the Lacombe and Red Deer districts eastward to the main line at Medicine Hat, cutting off the useless hundred mile haul straight south. The C. P. R. line via Saskatoon to Wetaskiwin is similarly intended to draw the communication with the East, thus freeing the traffic from the expense of an extra hundred and fifty mile haul. The C. N. R., the G. T. P. and the C. P. R. short line to Edmonton and Strathcona will provide the traffic of central Alberta three alternative direct routes, each avoiding the needless and expensive roundabout route through Calgary. The westward extension of these three lines, and possibly also the C. P. R. Lacombe line will afford direct communication with the Coast as with the East. The completion of these roads now actually under way will provide five if not six through railway lines crossing the Province from east to west, and providing to the settled half of the Province direct and competitive railway communication both to the Pacific and to the Atlantic.

This means that as handlers of through traffic the Calgary and Edmonton and the Calgary and Macleod are doomed—and it is from through traffic that these roads have collected the cream of their business. However profitable may have been the long trade along these lines, their great drawback has been gathered from traffic with Eastern Canada; from farm produce that they have handled rather than east and on imported goods that they have handled east rather than west. On every car of goods that the C. P. R. has left at Edmonton freight has been collected on a two hundred mile haul that has left the grain farther from Winnipeg than when it was left at Macleod; every car of goods they have brought to Edmonton freight has been collected

on a two hundred mile haul that left the goods nearer Winnipeg than when they left the mainline. It was by this tax on produce which they did not pay towards the line, and on freight that they did not carry away from its place of origin that the C. and E. and the C. and M. were their financial revenues. This economic phenomenon will be terminated with the completion of the new lines under way; then the C. and E. and the C. and M. will be forced to live on the local traffic, and from the pick-ups they may get by collecting and distributing traffic for the through lines over the short distance between these lines. Their grip on the traffic of the Alberta will be gone and with it will go the dividends that grip was able to squeeze from the people of the Province. The immediate prospect before the C. and E. and the C. and M. is therefore a loss of one of their most profitable sources of revenue; a loss that can only be recouped by an enormous increase in the local traffic of the lines, an increase which will take years to develop up. In these circumstances the owners of the roads would no doubt be happy to unload them on a "suspending Province" at a satisfactory consideration.

What would the consideration be? The amount of outstanding stocks of the C. and E. is \$1,000,000. But beside this bonds have been issued on the road for \$7,450,000. Approximately \$425,000 stand there charged against this line, equal to \$425,000 per mile of the 200 mile stretch. This is the least amount the owners could expect for the road and pay their debts from the proceeds. It is also the amount on which the traffic of the road must be made to pay interest, and in the altered conditions that are rapidly developing, the amount that must be collected almost entirely from the local traffic along the line. The capital per mile of the C. and E. is given as \$35,000, and of the C. P. R. system as \$34,000.

The owners of the C. and E. are apparently up against the proposition of having to collect interest on \$7,450,000 per mile more than it is necessary to collect from the traffic of the main line, and of having to collect this almost wholly from local traffic, and against the local and through traffic of the main line. In view of this the move to shuffle the C. and E. into the Province looks very much like an act for the relief of a distressed railway company. It is relief which we are by no means called upon to tender, for "fanning mill" policy is the road pay belongs naturally and properly to the gentlemen who over-loaded it with fixed charges. The satisfactory feature of the move is that the advent of new railways will relieve the present patrons of the C. and E. from the necessity of bearing its burdens. If the promise is made that they will not be asked for their cent, we may properly leave them the task of paying interest on the debt, the treatment they have accorded to the Province is certainly a mighty poor reason why we should relieve them forever from the burdens they have imposed upon us so long.

## BY-ELECTION RESULTS.

Since the general elections of 1904 forty-four by-elections have been held in federal constituencies. In thirty-four Liberals have been returned, Conservatives in nine, and one Labor candidate.

By provinces the returns are as follows:

Lib. Cons. Labor	
New Brunswick	3 1
Quebec	14 1
Ontario	10 7
Saskatchewan	2 2
Alberta	2 2
British Columbia	1 0

Following is the list of the by-elections:

Quebec Centre—A. Lachin (Lib.) acclamation.  
 Carleton—R. L. Borden (Con.) acclamation.  
 Wright—E. B. Devlin (Lib.) 172 majority.  
 Toronto Centre—E. Bristol (Con.) acclamation.  
 Edmonton—Hon. Frank Oliver (Lib.) acclamation.  
 Lewis—L. A. Currier (Lib.) acclamation.  
 London—Hon. C. S. Hyman (Lib.) 250.  
 Oxford North—George Smith (Lib.) 385.  
 North York—Hon. A. B. Aylesworth (Lib.) 284.  
 Antigonish—Wm. Chisholm (Lib.) 253.  
 West Lambton—F. F. Farde (Lib.) 248.  
 Wentworth—E. D. Smith (Con.) 37.  
 Compton—A. B. Hunt (Lib.) 300.  
 West Assiniboia—W. E. Knowles (Lib.) 248.  
 Saskatchewan—G. E. McCreary (Lib.) acclamation.

Molsonneuve—A. Verville (Labor), 1,075.  
 Victoria, B.C.—Hon. Wm. Templeman (Lib.) 906.  
 Cape Breton, North—C. C. Ross (Lib.) 834.  
 Strathcona—Wilbert McIntyre (Lib.) 1,001.  
 North Redwood—W. White (Con.) 1,001.  
 Elgin East—David Marshall (Con.) 73.  
 Quebec County—L. Robitaille (Lib.) 308.  
 St. Johns, Iroquois—Joseph Demers (Lib.) acclamation.  
 North Bruce—John Toimie (Lib.) 47.  
 Shelburne and Queen's—Hon. W. S. Fielding (Lib.) 1,001.  
 Montreal, St. Anne's—J. C. Walsh (Lib.) 202.  
 Montreal, St. Mary's—M. Martin (Lib.) 1,204.  
 Nicolet—Hon. C. B. Devlin (Lib.) 202.  
 L'Assomption—R. C. E. Laurier (Lib.) 170.  
 Victoria, N.B.—P. Michael (Lib.) acclamation.  
 Richelieu—A. Lanctot (Lib.) 1,104.  
 Three Rivers—Hon. Jacques Bureau (Lib.) acclamation.  
 St. John City and County—Hon. Wm. Pugsley (Lib.) acclamation.  
 Brockville—Hon. G. P. Graham, acclamation.  
 Colchester, N.S.—J. Stanfield (Con.) 223.  
 Labelle—C. B. Major (Lib.) 202.  
 London—The Battle (Con.) 1,035.  
 Nicolet—G. A. Turcotte (Lib.) 421.  
 East Northumberland—C. L. Owen (Con.) 244.  
 Ottawa—J. R. T. Caron (Lib.) 3,227.  
 North Wellington—A. M. Martin (Lib.) 266.  
 Centre York—D. McLean (Lib.) 164.  
 South Huron—M. Y. McLean (Lib.) 164.  
 Stanstead—Charles Levell (P.B.) 202.

## MR. ROOT'S FANNING MILL POLICY.

Mr. Root, the Conservative candidate for Red Deer, appears to be making some sharp political turns. Two years ago he was a supporter of the Liberal candidate for the Legislature in Red Deer, and naturally was endorsing the Autonomy Act, while now his own newspapers report him as being very much opposed to it. But that change is not a circumstance to view recent "fanning mill" policy in the reports of his speeches in his own party papers are to be relied upon. The Red Deer News reported the fanning mill policy when first announced by Mr. Root as follows:

"We had, if he remembered right 91 or 92 deputy weed inspectors drawing \$5 per day. He considered they were not doing for their salt. If the money was expended in buying fanning mills wholesale and distributing them to homesteaders who could not afford to buy them, the weeds would come out of the grain faster than by the inspector system. Dr. Clark gave a passing notice to this new "National Policy," with the result of a change in front upon the part of Mr. Root as reported in the Journal of January 27th. His speech at Hildesheim, the Journal reports Mr. Root as saying:

"We had ninety-two weed inspectors that cost \$5 per day. They were no good, but just rode the 'grub-line,' and never paid a cent. He (Mr. Root) advocated the introduction of 'fanning mills,' which could be sold to the farmers (not make them a present of them, as he had been accused of saying by Dr. Clark), and this would be for more effect than weed inspectors. He considered Archie Mitchell the chief weed grater."

Mr. Root, doubtless having seen the foolishness of his first statement as published in the Red Deer News, viz., "distributing them (fanning mills) to homesteaders who could not afford to buy them," is accordingly in the Journal's report, not only heaping, but trying to blame his policy of "free fanning mills" on Dr. Clark. This little incident is of only importance because it shows how liable to change Mr. Root's policy in this election may be, and how uncertain his reported public utterances are. He is also cautioning a candidate in a Dominion election campaign should devote so much of his attention to the foolishness of his first statement as published in the Red Deer News, viz., "distributing them (fanning mills) to homesteaders who could not afford to buy them," is accordingly in the Journal's report, not only heaping, but trying to blame his policy of "free fanning mills" on Dr. Clark.

Inspector Root to hand pull a quantity of ball mustard on one of his farms, and strange to say he obeyed the command of this officer for whom he now expresses such contempt, and it was only natural that he should form a correct opinion of the law which imposed such a disagreeable task upon him, no matter what the effect as to the spread of noxious weeds might be. By the way it doesn't take much of a farmer to tell Mr. Root how much effect a fanning mill would have in the destruction of ball mustard.

It is rather a pity that Mr. Root is not holding public meetings in the "Conservative" ones, so the public would have a chance to keep some account of his various policies.

## LIABILITY OF TRAIN CREWS.

Toronto Globe—There is no more important question before the public than was raised by the deputation of railway men before the committee of their present legal liability in case of accident. The law holds that every employee of a railway company who willfully or negligently violates any rule, regulation or instruction of the company or order of the minister of railways or board of railroads is liable in case of injury or death if such violation causes injury or death. It is a very serious liability, and it is not surprising that the railway companies are so anxious to get rid of it. The deputation asked that the penalties of the law be limited to the case of willful violation, and that more negligence be no longer an offence at all. The committee of the law, which handshakes and injures result from punishing a railway employee for negligence, but in an occupation where so much depends on quick action, it is not surprising that negligence is a very real offence. This position is not a new one. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence.

It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be changed, but it is urgent need of extending rather than restricting liability for negligence. It is a long established tendency of employees to disregard orders and to act on their own safety and that of fellow employees and the public. The law is not to be









ATTEND TO  
WHAT WE SAY  
AND  
LEARN TO  
YOUR  
ADVANTAGE

# SATURDAY SALE

EQUAL GOODS  
AT  
EQUAL PRICES  
ARE NOT  
SHOWN  
ELSEWHERE

A splendid opportunity for thrifty buyers to show their ability. Read over this list of offerings for Saturday. We think it will interest you. Transact your business where facilities are best, qualities are high, goods are many and prices are right.

## SATURDAY PRICES ON SHOES



Ladies' Find Kid Shoes, single sole, Julia Marlowe make, very dressy, reg. 4.50 and 5.00. Sale price, pair **3.50**

Children's Shoes, in broken lines, some extra good shoes, reg. price 1.35 and 1.75 pair. Sale price **1.00**

Men's Waterproof Leather Lined Shoes, a very serviceable shoe, regular 5.50 pair. Sale price **3.90**

Boys' Box Calf Shoes, felt lined and felt sole, sizes 1 to 5. Regular price 2.75 pair. Sale price, per pair **1.00**

Men's Box Calf Shoe, felt lined and felt sole, odd sizes, reg. 3.75 pair. Sale price **1.00**

ONE-THIRD OFF ALL FELTS AND SKATING SHOES



## SILKS

Fancy Silks, in stripes and floral designs, 22 inches wide, regular 90c. per yard. Sale price, per yard **65c.**

20 inch Black Pate de Soie Silk, good quality, regular 65 cents per yard. Sale price, per yard **50c.**

23 inch Black Duchesse Satin, fine rich finish, regular 1.25 per yard. Sale price, per yard **90c.**

## VALUES IN WOOL BLANKETS

White Pure All-Wool Blankets, fine soft finish, colored borders, big size, 64 x 84 in. regular price 7.50 pair. Sale price **6.00**

Bleached Cotton Sheet, best English make, in plain and twilled, 72 in. wide reg. 40c. yd. Sale price **30c.**

## CHILDREN'S WEAR

Boys' Worsted Hose, all sizes, good strong make, regular price 50c. pair. Sale price, 3 pair for **1.00**

Touques in red, navy blue and white, reg. price 50c. Sale price, each **35c.**

Children's Golf Coats reg. 1.25 ea. Sale price **95c.**

CHILDREN'S WHITE SERGE & FLANNEL COATS, HALF PRICE

## SELLING PRICES ON MEN'S WEAR



Men's Soft Felt Hats, good shapes, dark brown and grey, reg. 2.50 & 2.75. Sale ca. **1.50**

Men's Cashmere Hose, colors black and brown, regular 35c. pair. Sale price, pair **25c.**

Men's Fine Silk Four in-Hand Ties, reg. 50c. ties, good patterns. Sale price, each **25c.**

Men's good strong Scotch Wool Gloves, regular 35c. pair. Sale price, per pair **25c.**

Men's Fine Scotch Wool Gloves, in grey fawn and black, reg. 1.25 pair. Sale price **80c.**

Men's Angora Wool Gloves, very warm and good weavers, regular 2.50 pair. Sale **1.95**

MEN'S PURE SILK UNDERWEAR, IN LIGHT & HEAVY WEIGHTS AT HALF PRICE

## LADIES' FLANNELETTE GOWNS AND UNDERWEAR REDUCED



Ladies' Flannelette Gowns, assorted colors, nicely made and trimmed, reg. 1.50 ea. Sale price **80c.**

Ladies' Flannelette Corset Covers, in well made and perfectly fitting styles, Saturday only **35c.**

Ladies' Wool Golf Coats, very comfortable, regular price 2.50, 2.75 and 3.50 ea. Sale price **1.75**

Ladies' Ribbed Cashmere Hose, good weight, regular 50c. pair. Sale price, 3 pair for **1.00**

Children's Flannelette Drawers, reg. 45c. pair. For, pair **25c.**

ODD LINES IN LADIES' LEATHER BELTS 50 CENTS

## CROCKERY DEPT.

4 only, Parlor Lamps in handsome designs and colors; reg. price 10.00 each. Sale **5.00**

6 only, Steins, good shapes and colors, regular 1.50 each. Sale price, ea. **85c.**

1 only, Dinner Sets, 97 pieces, blue pattern, good shaped dishes. Regular 17.50 set. Sale price **8.75**

2 only, Toilet Sets, good designs, regular price 9.75 per set. Sale price, per set **6.50**

SPECIAL PRICES ON ALL ODD CROCKERY

## DRESDEN RIBBONS CUT



Fancy Dresden 7 inches wide in choice patterns and good silks, reg. 65c. yard. Sale price, yd. **45c.**

Fancy Striped Dresden Ribbon 3 in. wide, regular price 75c. yd. Sale **50c.**

Vellings, in all colors, regular 40 and 45c. yard. Sale price **30c.**

## HOUSE FURNISHINGS

65 yards only, Japanese Matting, in good color and pattern, regular 75c. per yard. Sale price, per yard **50c.**

120 yards only, Ingrain Carpet, 36 inches wide, regular 1.25 and 1.50 per yard. Sale price, per yard **85c.**

3 only, Umbrella Stands, mission style, regular 4.00 each. Sale price, each **2.50**

4 only, Leather Panels, hand painted Indian heads, regular price 6.00 each. Sale price, each **3.00**

SPECIAL CARPET SALE NOW GOING ON

## OUR PRICES ON LADIES' GLOVES, ETC.



Ladies' Black Woolen Gloves, regular 30c. pair. Sale price **15c.**

Ladies' White Kid Gloves, all sizes, regular price 1.50 pair. Sale price, per pair **1.15**

Linen Sideboard Covers, regular 2.25 each. Sale price **1.50**

Linen Table Covers, regular 2.00 each. Sale price, each **1.25**

Battenburg Patterns at HALF PRICE.

Boxes of Note Paper and Envelopes, linen finish, regular 35 cents. Sale price, per box **20c.**

Good Note Paper, regular 15c. Sale price **10c.**

Boxes of Bone Hair Pins. Special sale price, **25c.** package.

## SOME PRICES WE QUOTE ON CARPETS

500 yards Tapestry Carpet, regular prices 50c., 75c., 85c. and 1.00 yd. To be sold at **40c., 55c., 65c. and 75c.** yard.

2000 yds. Real Brussels Carpet, regular 1.50, 1.60 and 2.00 yd. Sale price **1.00, 1.10, and 1.20** per yard.

763 yds. Wilton Carpet, regular prices 2.00, 2.50 and 3.00 yard. Sale price **1.50, 1.75 and 2.00** yard.

50 only, Tapestry Squares, in assorted sizes, regular 8.50, 10.50, and 11.50. Sale price **6.50, 8.50 and 9.00** each.

64 only, Real Brussels Squares, in different sizes, reg. 24.00, 26.00 and 28.00 each. Sale prices **15.00, 18.00 and 22.00** ea.

36 only, Wilton Squares, in assorted sizes, reg. 24.00, 28.00 and 35.00 each. Sale prices **20.00, 22.00 and 28.00** each.

YOU SHOULD TAKE A LOOK THROUGH OUR CARPET DEPT.

## GROCERY DEPARTMENT

We have just placed in stock a shipment of Cheese, which include SWISS, ROQUEFORT, GORGONZOLA, LIMBURGER, EDAM and MELAREN'S IMPERIAL. PRICES THE LOWEST.

## WINTER GOODS

All Ladies' Furs reduced one-third.

All Men's Coon and Fur-Lined Coats at just half price.

All Men's Fur Caps, Collars, Mitts, etc. reduced one-third.

Boys' Suits and Overcoats, all styles, at half price.

## A FEW OF OUR MANY OFFERINGS IN MENS' CLOTHING



Men's Heavy Tweed Pants, dark colors, good weavers, regular 3.00 per pair. Sale price, per pair **2.00**

Men's Tweed Suits, in good patterns and colors, well made, regular 8.50 and 9.50 suits. Sale price, suit **4.75**

Men's Tweed and Worsted suits, in choice patterns, the very newest cuts, the best of trimmings, regular 16.50 to 18.00. Sale price, per suit **13.00**

ALL MEN'S WINTER OVERCOATS GREATLY REDUCED

## BIG DISCOUNTS ON LADIES' SILK SHIRT WAIST SUITS

Taffeta Silk Shirt Waist Suits, colors grey and green, well made and very stylish, regular 20.00. Sale price **13.50**

Taffeta Silk Shirt Waist Suits in checks, some very dainty things, regular 25.00. Sale price **18.00**

Taffeta Silk Shirt Waist Dresses, with lace waist, reg. 30.00. Sale price **20.00**



## SILK JUMPER SUITS

Black and White Silk Jumper Suits, very well made and quite new, regular price 22.50. Sale price **16.00**

Black and White Silk Jumper Dresses, very stylish, regular price 40.00. Sale **28.00**

LADIES' AND CHILDREN'S TWEED COATS ALL REDUCED

WE DO REPAIRING IN CONNECTION WITH OUR SHOE DEPARTMENT

# THE ACME CO., LIMITED

COR. JASPER AVE. AND SECOND ST.

OUR SHOEMAKER KNOWS HOW TO REPAIR SHOES







## AROUND THE CITY

## LOCALS

Four teachers in the public schools are unable to attend to their duties today, suffering from a gripe.

One drunk contrived to get into business at the police court this morning. He was given the usual \$5 fine. Edmonton has been out of the snow for a week ending yesterday totaling \$506,454. For the same period last year the total was \$778,352. For the month of January the total was \$3,551,000, against \$3,435,801.71, a year ago.

One hundred men are at work on the city sewer construction in the west end at the present time. The work will be continued as long as the city engineers find that it can be done as cheap as in the summer.

Charles E. Taylor, the electrical expert sent to investigate the Loring system, wired today that he will be in Chicago on Monday next to look over the sewer. Mr. Taylor stated that transportation is booked in the east on account of the snowstorm.

A case against one of the city hotel, charged with selling and serving alcoholic drinks on a mounted police officer, comes on at the municipal police court this afternoon. The charge was preferred by the city attorney, who alleged that boys were drinking at the bar one afternoon several weeks ago.

## NEW TOLL STATION

The City Telephone Department has installed a long distance toll station on the new telephone building. By means of this service long distance calls can now be made from the central exchange system, and with the convenience of the government, which will be of great service to many who wish to use the long distance lines.

## HE SPREAD THE GOSPEL

Yesterday at the immigration hall a family by the name of Christensen arrived from Christiansburg, Norway. The family consists of father, mother, two sons and one daughter. The father, who is about two years ago and homesteaded, buying up additional land, and he himself he wrote his father and arranged for the family to come to Canada. They will be located in the Fox River Prairie country.

## ARRESTED AT AN HOTEL

A man named Mike Nelson was arrested yesterday afternoon at the Alberta hotel by the R. C. M. P. He was wanted on a charge of theft returned against him at Macleod. Thompson have been on the lookout for Nelson for the past month, and he was ultimately located at this hotel. This morning he was taken down to the south in charge of Constable Macdonald.

## NEW COMPANY FOR NORTH

A company, to be known as the International Trading and Development Co., has been formed at Minneapolis, by Fred S. Lawrence, who is well known in the city, and superintendent of the experimental work at the Vermilion on the Peace River. The company, in the prospectus which has just sent out, states that it is capitalized at \$500,000 divided into 5,000 shares at ten dollars each. It is intended to exploit the oil, gas, and the Peace and McKenzie rivers.

## MUST LEAVE ALBERTA

A fine of \$100 and costs and 24 hours, to leave the province of Alberta was the sentence handed down yesterday afternoon by Magistrate Bieder at the city court to a man named Ireland and Carrie Brown. These were men living at 3020 Avenue 10, who were charged with violating the game laws by poaching. Detective Griffiths, Detective Wainwright, and Detective Bieder were on duty Thursday morning. The charge preferred was selling liquor without a license.

## LOW WATER PRESSURE

The water pressure from the city waterworks system was very low today, and at the city office, it was stated that the pressure was low. The first weakness occurred between 6 and 9 o'clock last night, and again at 11 o'clock this morning. It fell off, continuing low throughout the forenoon. There was no danger from the low water, as the pumps may be started in case of fire, and a full pressure given in a few minutes.

## GOOD ICE CROP

"Twenty-six inches is the thickness of that ice," observed a man with a load of congealed Saskatchewan water to a Bulletin representative. "It is thicker than this year." The man was a local informant, because of their ice has been so little snow. Last year there was a great deal more snow, and there was also much more snow, which kept the ice from freezing to an unusual thickness.

## THE WEATHER

Snowfalls have occurred in Northern Alberta and in northern and eastern parts of Saskatchewan. The weather was fair with a light snowfall in the prairie provinces, and rather in most districts has been cold.

Forecast—Mantle, mostly fair and very cold, a few light snow showers to-night and on Saturday.  
Saskatchewan and Alberta—A few light snowfalls; mostly fair and decidedly cold.  
The record of temperatures in the various centres yesterday was as follows:

Max. Min.	
Edmonton, cloudy	-2 -6
Calgary, fair	-2 -6
Lethbridge, cloudy	-2 -6
Regina, cloudy	-2 -6
Prince Albert, cloudy	-2 -6
Saskatoon, cloudy	-2 -6
St. Paul, cloudy	-2 -6
Winnipeg, cloudy	-2 -6
Regina, cloudy	-2 -6
St. Paul, cloudy	-2 -6
Winnipeg, cloudy	-2 -6

## PERSONAL

W. J. McLean and wife are visiting friends in Vancouver.

M. F. Moore, son of John T. Moore, M.P., is in the city of St. John's, Nfld.

J. B. Plunce, a Calgary contractor, is in the city on business, stopping at the Plunce.

C. Markier, of Calgary, provincial fair superintendent, is in the city, stopping at the Windsor.

H. D. Thompson, representing the Canadian Whitecross Co., of Toronto, is in the city, registered at the Alberta.

J. L. Wallace, a civil engineer residing in Calgary, is in the city on business and is staying at the Alberta.

Edward Wolfe, of the Wolfe Real Estate Co., of Winnipeg, is in the city on business, stopping at the Windsor.

S. H. Groder, of Calgary, representing the local branch of the city of the city on a business trip and is a guest at the Windsor.

A McLean, superintendent of the waterworks, is expected back tomorrow from a trip to the Pacific Coast, where he has been for the past two weeks.

## CITY LOSSES CASE

Mr. Justice Scott handed out his decision this morning in favor of the city, in the case of the late Frank E. Goode vs. the City of Edmonton, a motion for judgment upon a special case stated by the parties.

The motion was made recently in chambers by the city attorney, and the city attorney, who is now in the city, a motion for judgment upon a special case stated by the parties.

According to the statement of the case, the plaintiff, Frank E. Goode, proprietor of the Imperial hotel, applied to the city for a license for a renewal for one year of the liquor license for his hotel, which expired on June 30th, 1925. The license commission refused to issue the license, and the city refused to issue the license.

The question to be determined by the court was whether the city had the power or authority to refuse to issue the license, and if so, whether the city was liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court found that the city had the power to refuse to issue the license, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

The court also found that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff, and that the city was not liable for the damages claimed by the plaintiff.

## THE CITY'S HEALTH.

The monthly report for January of the Medical Health Officer, Dr. W. A. F. Tennant, has just been issued. It was handed in to the city office this morning, and it is a very interesting statement that typifies the health of the city, and the record for the month of January.

In his introductory remarks, the medical health officer states that 77 number of complaints have been received by the city, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

The number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small, and that the number of complaints has been very small.

## CHAS. BUNN NOT GUILTY.

After a brief deliberation of ten minutes' duration, the jury empaneled to try the case of Charles Bunn, charged with attempting to kill Henry Pruden, returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

The case was heard before the jury at 10 o'clock this morning, and the jury returned a verdict of "not guilty" at 11 o'clock this morning.

## GROCERIES GROCERIES

In our Grocery Department we carry full lines of staple and fancy Groceries, carefully selected and guaranteed the best quality obtainable. We cheerfully refund your money if you are not entirely satisfied with any goods you buy from us.

TRY OUR TEAS AND COFFEES  
GOODS PROMPTLY DELIVERED  
WE ARE ALWAYS OPEN TO BUY GOOD BUTTER & EGGS

## DUNCAN BROS. &amp; CO. BUTTERS

Sue, to McDougall & Second 3 AND 5 JASPER AVE. WEST Telephone Number 38

## AMUSEMENTS

AT THE GRAND  
The feature of the play at the Grand Theatre last evening was the sketch by the entire company, which was a very good one, and the audience was very much amused.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

AT THE ORPHEUM  
The Orpheum was crowded as usual last evening by a large audience which was highly pleased with the program presented. Smith & Billie in their usual good form and created much amusement in their comedy sketch, being assisted by F. Buckley, and Fred Rastall.

## ALBERTA VER

TELEPHONE 91

## WHY YOU JOIN

the happy throng of satisfied users of U. C. Coal

## THE BEST IN EDMONTON

Just \$3.25 a ton. That's all.

## UNITED COLLIERIES, LIMITED

622 FIRST STREET PHONE 452

## CUSHING BROS. CO. LTD.

Are making a specialty in their Edmonton factory of STORE and OFFICE FITTINGS of all kinds in Hard and Softwood. Their facilities for turning out this class of work are unsurpassed. Phone 76

## Cor. Elizabeth and Namayo

137 Queen's Ave. Phone 115